

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/624,708	07/22/2003	Roger L. Poe	506419-0057	7576	
27910 7	27910 7590 07/13/2004		EXAMINER		
STINSON MORRISON HECKER LLP			YEUNG, JAMES C		
ATTN: PATENT GROUP					
1201 WALNUT STREET, SUITE 2800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
KANSAS CITY, MO 64106-2150			3749		

DATE MAILED: 07/13/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				V [/		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	_ W///		
Office Action Summary		10/624,708	POE, ROGER L.	M v c		
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		James C Yeung	3749			
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	n the correspondence addre	3SS		
THE - Extra after - If th - If N - Fail	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.12 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period water to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute or reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a rep y within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI t, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  HS from the mailing date of this common NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.		
Status						
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 Ju	uly 2003.				
2a)□		action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposi	tion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠ 8)□ <b>Applica</b> 9)□ 10)□	Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-11 and 13-26 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) 12 is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/oution Papers  The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according a content of the drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the correct the objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet the correct the correct the co	wn from consideration.  or election requirement.  er.  epted or b) objected to by drawing(s) be held in abeyanction is required if the drawing(s)	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). i) is objected to. See 37 CFR	. ,		
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)□ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau  See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Ap rity documents have been ru u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Sta	age		
Attachmer						
2) ☐ Notio 3) ☑ Infor	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date <u>02172004</u> .	Paper No(s)/	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-15	i2)		

Art Unit: 3749

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. Claims assdfasd are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, lines 8-9, the recitation "at least one port (emphasis added) extending through the outer wall at a location between the discharge nozzle and said inlet intercommunicating the conduit and the air passageway (emphasis added)" is confusing. It is noted that on page 19, lines 19-20 of the instant specification, it states that the at least one port communicates with the space surrounding the conduit and the air passageway. Further clarification is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-3, 5-10, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gensler '729 (prior art cited by applicant). The structure as claimed is fully anticipated by Gensler '729.

Art Unit: 3749

In particular, Gensler '729 shows in Figs, 2-3 a low NOx burner nozzle assembly comprising:

an elongated hollow burner tube (30) providing a longitudinally extending conduit for supplying a mixture of fuel and air to a combustion zone the burner tube (30) having an outer wall (15) surrounding the conduit (30), a longitudinal extending central axis and a pair of spaced ends;

a discharge nozzle (34) at one of the ends of the burner tube (30); an inlet (at 29) for a mixture of fuel and air at the other end of the burner tube (30); an air passageway (35) located outside the outer wall of the burner tube (30); and at least one port (27) extending through the outer wall (15) at a location between the discharge nozzle (34) and the inlet intercommunicating the space surrounding the conduit (30) and the air passageway (35).

4. Claims 21-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gensler '838 (prior art cited by applicant). The method as claimed is fully anticipated by Gensler '838.

In particular, Gensler '838 shows in the Figure a method for operating a burner comprising:

causing (at 14) a mixture of fuel and air to flow toward a centrally located point adjacent a face of f burner tile (11);

causing(at 20) a stream (c)of at least one of additional air (35) and recirculated flue gas (b) to flow toward a location of adjacent the face (11) which is spaced laterally from the point; and

separating (at 27) a portion of the mixture (a) and intermixing the same with the stream (c,b) to thereby create fuel lean admixture (d) capable of flameless oxidation before the same reaches the location.

In regard to claim 22, Gensler '838 shows in the Figure that the method further comprising separating (at 34) a second portion of the mixture into a plurality of separate streams (e), causing the streams (e) to flow radially outwardly from the point across the face of the tile (11) and causing the streams (e) to combust to from a flame which surrounds the point, and flameless oxidizing the admixture at the face to create relatively cool oxidation products.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gensler '729 (prior art cited by applicant).

Art Unit: 3749

Gensler '729 discloses the invention substantially as claimed. However, Gensler '729 does not disclose that the port is disposed at an angle relative to a central axis.

It is noted that to dispose the port at an angle in the manner as recited is deemed matter of design choice depending upon the desired operational and performance characteristic of the burner. No patentable weight can be given thereto in the absence of a showing of criticality by applicant.

7. Claims 11, 13-14, 16, 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gensler '729 (prior art cited by applicant) in view of Zink (prior art cited by applicant).

Zink teaches the use of a plurality of flow directing members (26, Fig. 2) for the purpose of discharging a mixture of fuel and air in a generally radial direction so as to promote stable combustion (col. 1, lines 29-39).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the burner head of Gensler '729 with a plurality of flow directing member in the manner as taught by Zink in order to discharge the mixture of fuel and air in a generally radial direction as to promote stable combustion.

8. Claim 12 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 3749

Page 6

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure.

Newby is cited to show a low NOx flat flame burner.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to James C Yeung whose telephone number is 703 308-1047. The

fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-

872-9306.

11. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JΥ

July 12, 2004

James C. Yeung Primary Examiner